HOWARDIAN HILLS AREA OF OUTSTANDING NATURAL BEAUTY JOINT ADVISORY COMMITTEE 14 APRIL 2016

DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE AONB

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To receive details of planning applications determined within the AONB during 2015.

2.0 BACKGROUND

2.1 At the spring meeting, the JAC receives an annual report on the number and type of planning applications determined within the AONB during the previous year. This procedure was started for the first time in 1998 and gives an impression of the degree of development pressure within the AONB.

3.0 DEVELOPMENT DURING 2015

- 3.1 A summary of planning applications determined during 2015 appears in Appendix 1. Details have been included of all applications within the period which were approved or refused by the two principal local planning authorities Hambleton and Ryedale District Councils. Details have not been included of applications which were withdrawn or where a decision was still pending at the end of the year.
- 3.2 It is important not to read too much into this information. Nothing can be deduced about the scale of development or its visual impact. Nevertheless the following appear to be the most significant conclusions:
 - The AONB is still under relatively little development pressure, certainly when compared to many other AONBs. The number of applications in 2015 was an increase on the previous year, and would have been even more if some refinements to the data had not been available this year. The data for the Hambleton District has previously included all applications Registered in 'split' parishes. For 2015 the data for applications outside the AONB boundary has been excluded, thereby giving a more accurate dataset.
 - 90% of applications determined were approved, a figure that is consistent with the 5-year average of 91%. Planning control in the AONB is still allowing the vast majority of applications to proceed, whilst also preventing those that are not of the highest quality necessary to be permitted within an AONB.
 - Development continues to be spread across nearly all villages, but with higher numbers of applications understandably being seen in the larger villages.
 Activity in most villages in 2015 has been fairly consistent with the 5-year average, although Gilling and Sproxton experienced slightly above average levels of activity.
 - Most pressure was for small-scale householder applications e.g. residential extensions. No categories of development showed any significant variation from the 5-year average.
 - The AONB continues to be under relatively little tourism and recreational development pressure, with the number of applications in 2015 being lower than the 5-year average.

- A number of significant applications and cases can be highlighted from the past year major extensions to Firby Hall, raising the roof height of a bungalow in open countryside near Welburn, construction of 500 dwellings on the western edge of Malton, erection of new houses at Appleton-le-Street and Musley Bank, development of an 88ha solar farm near Easingwold, erection of an agricultural workers dwelling at Husthwaite (2 attempts), new agricultural buildings at Yearsley and Bulmer, a solar farm at Oulston, a house extension at Crambeck, a new house on the outskirts of Crayke and the conversion of a bungalow into a house in Crayke.
- In the financial year 2015/16, which does not quite overlap with the calendar year 2015, 121 applications were scrutinised, having either been referred in accordance with the agreed consultation procedure or called-up by the AONB Manager:
 - The AONB Manager submitted comments on 55 of these consultations.
 - Objections/strong reservations were lodged in 23 of those responses.
 - The District Council followed the JAC's recommendations of refusal, or the applicants Withdrew the plans, in 13 out of the cases where a decision was required (3 cases still pending a Decision).
 - 2 schemes were approved following re-submission/amendment in line with the AONB Manager's comments.
 - In the 2014/15 year the AONB Manager scrutinised 87 applications. In the 2015/16 year 121 applications were scrutinised, which explains the significant workload on planning application scrutiny during this past year.

In many cases the comments submitted were relatively minor in nature, but nonetheless important in order to ensure that the AONB landscape, wildlife and historic heritage is conserved appropriately. Many of the comments made relate to the colour of materials and wall/roof finishes. Although a Condition is often placed on the development by the District Council, it is only once the development takes place that we can see whether our comments have truly been successful or not. Members should note that we have very little control over the workload generated by this area of our work, as it is dependent upon the number and type of applications submitted.

4.0 RECOMMENDATION

That the report be received for information.

Howardian Hills AONB						
Applications Determined by Pa	arish					
1,1						
						5yr Average
Ryedale Parishes	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010-2015
Ampleforth	10	7	12	5	9	9
Bulmer	3	0	2	2	0	1
Cawton	3	3	4	4	3	3
Coneysthorpe	0	1	0	0	0	0
Coulton	3	5	3	1	3	3
Crambe	0	2	4	3	2	2
Gilling East	9	10	8	6	12	9
Grimstone	0	0	0	0	0	0
Henderskelfe	0	1	0	2	1	1
Hovingham	9	10	9	4	6	8
Howsham	2	0	3	1	2	2
Huttons Ambo	1	6	7	3	5	4
Nunnington	4	3	5	4	4	4
Oswaldkirk	9	2	10	3	6	6
Scackleton	0	2	1	3	1	1
Sheriff Hutton (High Stittenham)	0	0	1	0	1	0
Sproxton	1	4	4	3	4	3
Stonegrave	2	6	1	0	2	2
Terrington	5	10	4	1	6	5
Welburn	11	6	14	7	10	10
Whitwell-on-the Hill	1	2	0	2	0	1
Total Ryedale	73	80	92	54	77	75
Total Ryedale	73	00	92	34	11	75
Hambleton Parishes						
Brandsby-cum-Stearsby	7	12	14	7	4	9
Coxwold	0	0	0	0	1	0
Crayke	17	8	12	6	4	9
Dalby-cum-Skewsby	2	3	3	2	4	3
Husthwaite	5	14	5	7	1	6
Newburgh	1	2	0	1	0	1
Oulston	3	1	1	0	0	1
Thornton-on-the-Hill	0	0	0	1	2	1
Whenby	1	0	3	2	0	1
Yearsley	1	6	1	5	9	4
	•	3	'	3		7
Total Hambleton	37	46	39	31	25	36
TOTAL HOWARDIAN HILLS AONB	110	126	131	85	102	111

Applications Determined by Type of Development (Number of applications and % approved) Ryedale Parishes Residential - New Build Residential - Conversions Holiday - Conversions Householder Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian Total Ryedale	20 4 3 0 34 0 1 0 1 4 3 20	1100% 100% 100% 	20 9 1 43 0 1 0 2 1 8	100% 100% 100% 98% ~ 100% 100%	20 7 2 0 42 0 6 0 3	71% 0% ~ 93% ~ 100% ~	9 0 0 21 0 1	78%	20 4 3 1 44 0 2 0	50% 100% 100% 86% ~ 100%	5yr Average 2011-2015 5 3 0 37 0 2 0 2	
Residential - New Build Residential - Conversions Holiday - Conversions Householder Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	4 3 0 34 0 1 0 1 4 3 20	100% 100%	2 9 1 43 0 1 0 2	100% 100% 100% 98% ~ 100% 100%	7 2 0 42 0 6 0 3	71% 0% 93% 100%	9 0 0 0 1 0 1	78% ~ ~ 90% ~ 0% ~ 100%	4 3 1 44 0 2 0	50% 100% 100% 86% ~	2011-2015 5 3 0 37 0 2	
Residential - New Build Residential - Conversions Holiday - Conversions Householder Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	4 3 0 34 0 1 0 1 4 3 20	100% 100%	2 9 1 43 0 1 0 2	100% 100% 100% 98% ~ 100% 100%	7 2 0 42 0 6 0 3	71% 0% 93% 100%	9 0 0 0 1 0 1	78% ~ ~ 90% ~ 0% ~ 100%	4 3 1 44 0 2 0	50% 100% 100% 86% ~	2011-2015 5 3 0 37 0 2	
Residential - New Build Residential - Conversions Holiday - Conversions Householder Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	4 3 0 34 0 1 0 1 4 3 20	100% 100%	2 9 1 43 0 1 0 2	100% 100% 100% 98% ~ 100% 100%	7 2 0 42 0 6 0 3	71% 0% 93% 100%	9 0 0 0 1 0 1	78% ~ ~ 90% ~ 0% ~ 100%	4 3 1 44 0 2 0	50% 100% 100% 86% ~	5 3 0 37 0 2	
Residential - Conversions Holiday - Conversions Householder Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	3 0 34 0 1 0 1 1 4 3	100%	9 1 43 0 1 0 2 1	100% 100% 98% ~ 100% ~ 100%	2 0 42 0 6 0 3	93% - 100%	0 0 21 0 1	90% - 0% - 100%	3 1 44 0 2 0 1	100% 100% 86% ~ 100%	3 0 37 0 2	
Holiday - Conversions Householder Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	0 34 0 1 0 1 1 4 3	100%	1 43 0 1 0 2 1 8	100% 100% 98% ~ 100% ~ 100%	0 42 0 6 0 3	93% - 100%	0 21 0 1	90% - 0% - 100%	1 44 0 2 0 1	100% 100% 86% ~ 100%	0 37 0 2	
Holiday - Conversions Householder Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	0 34 0 1 0 1 1 4 3	~ 88% ~ 0% ~ 0% 75%	1 43 0 1 0 2 1 8	100% 98% ~ 100% ~ 100%	0 42 0 6 0 3	93%	0 21 0 1	90%	1 44 0 2 0 1	100% 86% ~ 100%	0 37 0 2	
Householder Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	34 0 1 0 1 1 4 3	88%	43 0 1 0 2 1 8	98% 100% 100%	42 0 6 0 3	93% 100% 100%	21 0 1 0 1	90%	44 0 2 0	86% ~ 100%	37 0 2	
Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	0 1 1 1 4 3 20	88%	0 1 0 2 1 8	98% 100% 100%	0 6 0 3	93% 100% 100%	0 1 0	90%	0 2 0	86% ~ 100%	0 2 0	
Retail Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	1 0 1 1 4 3 20	~ 0% ~ 0% 0%	1 0 2 1	100% - 100% 100%	6 0 3 0	100%	0	~ 0% ~ 100%	2 0	100%	0 2 0	
Business & Commercial Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	1 0 1 1 4 3 20	0% ~ 0% 0% 75%	1 0 2 1	100%	6 0 3 0	100%	0	0%	2 0	100%	2	
Minerals & Waste Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	0 1 1 4 3 20	0% ~ 0% 0% 75%	0 2 1	100%	0 3	100%	0	0%	0	100%	0	
Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	1 1 4 3 20	~ 0% 0% 75%	2 1 8	100%	3	100%	1	100%	1	~		
Tourism & Recreation Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	1 1 4 3 20	0% 0% 75%	2 1 8	100%	3	100%	1	100%	1			
Community Facilities Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	1 4 3 20	0% 0% 75%	1 8	100%	0	100%		100%			2	
Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	1 4 3 20	75%	1 8	100%			1		0	100%		1
Agriculture Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	3 20	75%	8			~	1		0	1 1		
Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	3 20	75%			7	~		100%		~	1	
Agricultural Prior Notifications Other Equestrian	20		3	87%			3	100%	7	~	6	
Other Equestrian	20	100%	3			100%		66%		100%		
Equestrian		100%			2		5		4		3	
	0	100%	12		24		18		12		17	
	0			100%		92%		88%		92%		
Total Ryedale			1	100%	1	100%	0		3	100%	1	
Total Ryedale		~		100%		100%		~		100%		
	71		83		94		59		81		78	
		88%		97%		90%		85%		88%		
Hambleton Parishes												
Residential - New Build	0	~	2	100%	0	~	1	0%	3	66%	1	
Residential - Conversions	2	~	4	100%	2	~	1	0%	1	00%	2	
		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		
Holiday - Conversions	2	100%	2	100%	2	50%	1	100%	2	100%	2	
Householder	18	100 /6	20	100 /6	19	30 /6	22	10076	15	100 /6	19	
		94%		90%		100%		100%		93%		
Retail	0	~	0	~	0	~	0	~	0	~	0	
Business & Commercial	0	~	4	~	3	~	0	~	0	~	1	
		~		100%		100%		~		~		
Minerals & Waste	0	~	0	~	0	~	0	~	0	~	0	
Tourism & Recreation	4	~	1	~	1	~	2	~	0	~	2	
		75%		100%		100%		100%		~		
Community Facilities	0	~	1	100%	0	~	0	~	0	~	0	
Agriculture	8	-	3	100/0	10	~	4		4	-	6	
		100%		100%		100%		75%		100%		
Agricultural Prior Notifications	3		6		0		1		2		2	
Other	0		3		2		0		0		1	
		~		100%		100%		~		~		
Total Hambleton	37		46		39		32		27		36	
Total Hambletoff	31	95%	70	96%	JJ	97%	JŁ	94%		92%		
	4.5 -				45-				16.5			
TOTAL HOWARDIAN HILLS AONB	108	90%	129	97%	133	92%	91	88%	108	90%	114	